

## **CHARACTERIZATION IN TERMS OF MEASURE OF LACUNARY UNIFORM STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE SEQUENCES**

**FIKRET ČUNJALO**

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics  
University of Sarajevo  
Bosnia & Herzegovina  
e-mail: [fcunjalo01@hs-hkb.ba](mailto:fcunjalo01@hs-hkb.ba)

### **Abstract**

In the [3] is proven that almost every, in terms of measure  $P_A$ , subsequence  $S(x)$  of double sequence  $S$  converges to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense, if and only if sequence  $S$  uniformly statistically converges to  $L$ . In this paper, it is proven that analogue is valid and for lacunary uniformly statistical convergence. Almost every, in terms of measure  $P_A$ , subsequence  $S(x)$  of double sequence  $S$  converges to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense, if and only if sequence  $S$  lacunary uniformly statistically converges to  $L$ .

This is not true for measure  $P$ .

Almost every, in terms of measure  $P$ , subsequence  $S(x)$  of double sequence  $S$  of 0's and 1's is not almost uniformly statistically convergent, if is sequence  $S$  lacunary uniformly statistically convergent and divergent in the Pringsheim's sense.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40B05; Secondary 40A35, 40G15.

Keywords and phrases: multiple sequences, statistical convergence.

Communicated by Erdinc Dundar.

Received January 23, 2018; Revised February 15, 2018

### 1. Introduction

The concept of the statistical convergence of a sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [10]. Furthermore, Gökhan et al. [13] introduced the notion of pointwise and uniform statistical convergent of double sequences of real-valued function. Dündar and Altay [5-9] investigated the relation between  $I$ -convergence of double sequences. Fridy and Orhan [12] have studied lacunary statistical convergence of single sequences. Patterson and Savaş in [14] defined the lacunary statistical analogue for double sequences. Now, we recall that the definitions of concepts of ideal convergence and basic concepts [1, 2, 11].

The sequence  $S_{ij}$  of real numbers converges to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense, if for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K > 0$  such that

$$|S_{ij} - L| \leq \varepsilon, \forall i, j \geq K.$$

We write  $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij} = L$ .

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $K_{nm}$  be the number of  $(i, j) \in K$  such that  $i \leq n, j \leq m$ . If

$$d_2(K) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{nm}}{nm},$$

in the Pringsheim's sense then, we say that  $K$  has double natural density.

Let is sequence  $S_{ij}$  of real numbers and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

The sequence  $S = S_{ij}$  statistically converges to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $d_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$  for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ .

We write  $st - \lim S_{ij} = L$ . Let is set  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A class  $I$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be an *ideal in  $X$*  provided the following statements hold:

- (i)  $\emptyset \in I$ ,
- (ii)  $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ ,
- (iii)  $A \in I, B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$ .

The ideal is called *nontrivial* if  $I \neq \{\emptyset\}$  and  $X \in I^c$ . A nontrivial ideal  $I$  is called *admissible* if it contains all the singleton sets. A nontrivial ideal  $I$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is called *strongly admissible* if  $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$  belong to  $I$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A nonempty family  $F$  of subsets of a set  $X$  is called a *filter* if

- (i)  $\emptyset \in F^c$ ,
- (ii)  $A, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$ ,
- (iii)  $A \in F, A \subset B \Rightarrow B \in F$ .

In this paper, the focus is put on ideal  $I_u \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  defined by: subset  $A$  belongs to the  $I_u$  if

$$\lim_{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : (n + i, m + j) \in A\}| = 0,$$

uniformly on  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  in the Pringsheim's sense. That is subset  $A$  of the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is uniformly density zero.

The sequence  $S = S_{ij}$  uniformly statistically converges to  $L$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I_u.$$

That is sequence  $S = S_{ij}$  uniformly statistically converges to  $L$  if  $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| < \varepsilon', \forall p, q \geq K, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We write  $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$ .

We denote with  $X$  a set of all double sequences of 0's and 1's, i.e.,

$$X = \{x = x_{ij} : x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let sequence  $S = S_{ij}$  and  $x \in X$ . Then with  $S(x)$  we denote a sequence defined following way:

$$S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}, \text{ for } x_{ij} = 1.$$

The mapping  $x \rightarrow S(x)$  is a bijection of the set  $X$  to a set of all subsequences of the sequence  $S$ .

Then, under the Lebesgue measure on the set of all subsequences of the sequence  $S$  consider Lebesgue measure on the set  $X$ .

Let  $\beta$  smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra subsets of the set  $X$  which contains of subsets in the form of:

$$\{x = (x_{nm}) \in X : x_{n_1 m_1} = a_1, x_{n_2 m_2} = a_2, \dots, x_{n_k m_k} = a_k\},$$

$$a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

There is a unique Lebesgue measure  $P$  on the set  $X$  for which the following applies:

$$P(\{x = (x_{nm}) \in X : x_{n_1 m_1} = a_1, x_{n_2 m_2} = a_2, \dots, x_{n_k m_k} = a_k\}) = \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

The subsequence  $S(x)$  of sequence  $S$  uniformly statistically converges to  $L$  if  $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$  such that for  $\forall p, q \geq K$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  provided that  $x_{nm} = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leq \varepsilon'.$$

We write  $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$ .

By a lacunary sequence, we mean an increasing sequence  $\Theta = (k_r)$  such that

$$k_0 = 0 \text{ and } h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$I_1 = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i, j \leq k_1\},$$

$$I_2 = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i, j \leq k_2\} \setminus I_1, \dots,$$

$$I_r = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i, j \leq k_r\} \setminus (I_{r-1} \cup I_{r-2} \cup \dots \cup I_1), \text{ for } \forall r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The sequence  $S_{ij}$  lacunary statistically converges to  $L$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|I_r|} |\{(i, j) \in I_r : |S_{ij} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

We write  $S_\Theta - \lim S_{ij} = L$ .

Fridy proved that if  $S = S_i$  sequence of real numbers and  $\Theta = (k_r)$  lacunary sequence such that

$$1 < \liminf \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \leq \limsup \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} < \infty.$$

Then, sequence  $S = S_i$  statistically convergent if and only if it lacunary statistically convergent.

Let  $S = S_{ij}$  double sequence of real numbers and  $\Theta = (k_r)$  lacunary sequence of natural numbers.

A sequence  $S = S_{ij}$  lacunary uniformly statistically converges to real number  $L$  if  $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $\forall r > r_0$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|I_r|} |\{(i, j) \in I_r : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \varepsilon'.$$

We write  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$ .

The subset  $A$  of the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is lacunary uniformly density zero if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $\forall r > r_0$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|I_r|} |\{(i, j) \in I_r : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

## 2. New Results

Not almost every, in terms of  $P$ , subsequence  $S(x)$  of double sequence  $S$  is convergent to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense if  $S$  converges to  $L$  lacunary uniformly statistically.

**Example.** Let be  $\Theta = (k_r)$  lacunary sequence and  $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  lacunary uniformly density zero such that for  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists(i, j) \in A$  and  $i, j \geq N$ .

Let the sequence  $S = (S_{ij})$  defined as

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - 1| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } l \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Respectively,  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = 1$ . Let

$$B = \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i,j \geq M} \{x \in X : x_{ij} = 1, (i, j) \in A\}.$$

$$\sum_{i,j \geq M, (i,j) \in A} P(\{x \in X : x_{ij} = 1\}) = \sum_{i,j \geq M, (i,j) \in A} \frac{1}{2} = \infty.$$

Due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $P(B) = 1$ .

Since subsequence  $S(x)$  of  $S$  does not converge to 1 in the Pringsheim's sense if and only if  $x \in B$ , it,

$$P(\{x \in X : \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = 1 \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 0.$$

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . There is a unique measure  $P_A$  on  $X$  with the property:

$$P_A(\{x \in X : x_{ij} = 1\}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ \frac{1}{2^{i+j}}, & (i, j) \in A, \end{cases}$$

$$P_A(\{x \in X : x_{i_1 j_1} = a_1, \dots, x_{i_k j_k} = a_k\})$$

$$= P_A(\{x \in X : x_{i_1 j_1} = a_1\}) \cdots P_A(\{x \in X : x_{i_k j_k} = a_k\}).$$

Analogue theorem is valid: Let the sequence  $S = (S_{ij})$  be divergent in the Pringsheim's sense. Then,  $S$  uniformly statistically converges to  $L$  if and only if  $\exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  uniformly density zero such that

$$P_A(\{x \in X : \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the sequence  $S = (S_{ij})$  divergent in the Pringsheim's sense. Then, the sequence  $S$  lacunary uniformly statistically converges to  $L$  if and only if  $\exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  lacunary uniformly density zero such that*

$$P_A(\{x \in X : \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$

**Proof.** Because of lemma the following is valid: Let is  $Ust_{\Theta}$  -  $\lim S_{ij} = L$ , then  $\exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  lacunary uniformly density zero such that the subsequence  $S(y)$  of  $S$  converges to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

Not generalizing we can assume that  $L$  is not a point accumulation of the subsequence  $S(x)$  for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \in A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the subsequence  $S(z)$  converges to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense if and only if  $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : z_{ij} = 1, i, j \geq M\} \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Let

$$B_M = \{x \in X : x_{ij} = 1, i, j \geq M, (i, j) \in A\}, B = \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} B_M.$$

Then,  $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}$ , is,

$$P_A(B) \leq P_A(B_M) = \sum_{i, j \geq M, (i, j) \in A} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} \leq \sum_{i, j \geq M} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} = \frac{1}{2^{2M-2}}.$$

Hence,  $P_A(B) = 0$ . Since the set  $B$  is a set of all  $x \in X$  for which  $S(x)$  does not converge to  $L$  in the Pringsheim's sense. It,

$$P_A(\{x \in X : \lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$



Let the sequence  $S$  not be lacunary uniformly statistically convergent and let  $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  lacunary uniformly density zero. Then, due to the lemma, the subsequence  $S(x)$  is divergent in the Pringsheim's sense for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

The following cases can be presented:

- (a)  $\exists(n_k), (m_k), n_k \nearrow, m_k \nearrow, (n_k, m_k) \notin A, S_{n_k m_k} \geq k$  for  $\forall k$ ,
- (b)  $\exists(n_k), (m_k), n_k \nearrow, m_k \nearrow, (n_k, m_k) \notin A, S_{n_k m_k} \leq -k$  for  $\forall k$ ,
- (c)  $\exists(n_k^1), (m_k^1), \exists(n_k^2), (m_k^2), (n_k^1, m_k^1), (n_k^2, m_k^2) \notin A, S_{n_k^1 m_k^1} \leq \lambda < \mu$   
 $\leq S_{n_k^2 m_k^2}$ . It follows:

- (a)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k m_k} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} = \infty$ ,
- (b)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k m_k} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} = \infty$ ,
- (c)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k^1 m_k^1} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k^2 m_k^2} = 1\}) = \infty$ .

Then due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma the following is valid:

- (a)  $P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k m_k} = 1$  for infinite  $k\}) = 1$ ,
- (b)  $P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k m_k} = 1$  for infinite  $k\}) = 1$ ,
- (c)  $P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k^1 m_k^1} = x_{n_k^2 m_k^2} = 1$  for infinite  $k\}) = 1$ .

It follows

$$P_A(\{x \in X : S(x) \text{ divergent in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$

Hence,

$$P_A(\{x \in X : S(x) \text{ convergent in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 0.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([4]). *Let is  $\Theta = (k_r)$  lacunary sequence and  $S = S_{ij}$  double sequence. Then,  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$  if and only if  $\exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  lacunary uniformly density zero and  $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L$ , in the Pringsheim's sense, for*

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let is  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$ . Then there is a sequence of natural numbers  $(u_r)_{r=2}^{\infty}$  such that for  $\forall l \geq u_r$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|I_l|} \left| \left\{ (i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

Let

$$A = \bigcup_{r=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n, m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (n+i, m+j) : (i, j) \in \bigcup_{l=u_r}^{u_{r+1}-1} I_l, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

We define  $x \in X$  the following way:

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

For  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $\forall r \geq r_0$  we have  $\frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon$ . From the definition of the sequence  $x$ , such that for  $l \geq u_{r_0}$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  provided that  $x_{n+i, m+j} = 1$ , we have

$$|S_{n+i, m+j}(x) - L| = |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for  $\forall i, j \geq k_{u_{r_0}}$  and  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  provided that  $x_{ij} = 1$ , we have

$$|S_{ij}(x) - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence, the subsequence  $S(x)$  converges to  $L$ , in the Pringsheim's sense.

For  $\forall l \leq u_{n_0}$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  valid

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|I_l|} \left| \left\{ (i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| = 0, \text{ uniformly for } \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We assume that there is a subset  $A$  of the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| = 0, \text{ uniformly for } \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and  $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L$ , in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

For  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $\forall n, m \geq N$ , we have

$$|S_{nm}(x) - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

For  $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k_{l-1} > N$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : n+i < N \vee m+j < N, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &+ \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : n+i, m+j \geq N, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{2N(k_l - k_{l-1})}{k_l^2 - k_{l-1}^2} + \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : n+i, m+j \geq N, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{2N(k_l - k_{l-1})}{k_l^2 - k_{l-1}^2} + \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the first summation converges to zero uniformly on  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The second summation converges to zero uniformly on  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  due to the assumption.

So,  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let is  $\Theta = (k_r)$  lacunary sequence. Then, almost every double sequence of 0's and 1's is not lacunary uniformly statistically convergent.*

**Proof.** Let

$$A_n^r = \{x \in X : x_{n+i, n+j} = 1, (i, j) \in I_r\}.$$

Since  $P(A_n^r) = \frac{1}{2^{|I_r|}}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|I_r|}} = +\infty.$$

Since  $A_n^k$  are independent, based on the second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma:

$$P\left(\limsup_n A_n^r\right) = 1.$$

We denote  $A^r = \limsup_n A_n^r$ ,  $A = \limsup_r A^r$ . Since  $P(A^r) = 1$ ,  $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

it is  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P(A^r) = +\infty$ .

Due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma, it follows  $P(A) = 1$ . Let is  $x \in A$  then, for  $\forall r_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r > r_0$  such that  $x \in A_n^r$ . It follows  $\forall x \in A$  does not converge lacunary uniformly statistically to 0.

Completely analogously, almost every  $x \in X$  does not converge lacunary uniformly statistically to 1.

Every lacunary uniformly statistically convergent sequence  $x \in X$  converges 0 or 1. It follows

$$P(\{x \in X : x = (x_{ij}) \text{ convergent lacunary uniformly statistically}\}) = 0.$$

**Definition 2.4.** The subsequence  $S(x)$  of sequence  $S$  lacunary uniformly statistically converges to  $L$  if  $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$  such that for  $\forall r \geq K$  and  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  provided that  $x_{nm} = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_r : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_r : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leq \varepsilon'.$$

We write  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$ .

Almost every, in terms of measure  $P$ , subsequence of uniformly statistically convergent double sequence  $S$  is uniformly statistically convergent. This analogue is valid also for lacunary uniform statistical convergence double sequences of 0's and 1's.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let is  $S_{ij}$  sequence of 0's and 1's that is convergent lacunary uniformly statically and divergent in the Pringsheim's sense. Let is  $\Theta = (k_r)$  lacunary sequence. Then,*

$$P(\{x \in X : S_{ij}(x) \text{ convergent lacunary uniformly statically}\}) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Since a sequence  $S_{ij}$  convergent lacunary uniformly statically, it is,

$$Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 0.$$

Suppose it is  $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = 1$  and  $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij} \neq 1$  in the Pringsheim's sense. Then, there exists infinite subsequence  $(k_{r_l})$  of the sequence  $(k_r)$  such that for  $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}, \exists(i, j) \in I_{r_l}$  we have  $S_{ij} = 0$ . Not generalizing we can assume that for  $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \exists(i, j) \in I_r$ , we have  $S_{ij} = 0$ . Let

$$A_n^r = \{x \in X : x_{n+i, n+j} = S'_{n+i, n+j}, (i, j) \in I_r\},$$

where is

$$S'_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & S_{ij} = 0, \\ 0, & S_{ij} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $P(A_n^r) = \frac{1}{2^{|I_r|}}$ , it is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|I_r|}} = +\infty.$$

Since  $A_n^k$  are independent, based on the second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma:

$$P\left(\limsup_n A_n^r\right) = 1.$$

We denote  $A^r = \limsup_n A_n^r$ ,  $A = \limsup_r A^r$ . Since  $P(A^r) = 1, \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is,  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P(A^r) = +\infty$ .

Due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma, it follows  $P(A) = 1$ . Let is  $x \in A$  then, for  $\forall r_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r > r_0$  such that  $x \in A_n^r$ . Then, for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_r : |S_{n+i, n+j} - 1| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, n+j} = 1\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_r : x_{n+i, n+j} = 1\}|} = 1.$$

Hence, subsequence  $S(x)$  does not converge lacunary uniformly statistically to 1. Completely analogously, almost every subsequence  $S(x)$  of sequence  $S_{ij}$  does not converge lacunary uniformly statistically to 0. It follows

$$P(\{x \in X : S(x) \text{ convergent lacunary uniformly statistically}\}) = 0.$$

### References

- [1] B. Altay and F. Başar, Some new spaces of double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 309(1) (2005), 70-90.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.12.020>
- [2] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems and A. Komisariski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328(1) (2007), 715-729.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.05.040>
- [3] F. Čunjalo and F. Destović, Subsequence characterization of uniform statistical convergence of double sequence, *Res. Comm. Math. Math. Sci.* 9(1) (2017), 37-50.
- [4] F. Čunjalo, Some characterization of lacunary uniform statistical convergence of double sequences, *Res. Comm. Math. Math. Sci.* 10(1) (2018), 1-12.
- [5] E. Dündar, On rough  $I_2$ -Convergence of double sequences, *Numer. Func. Anal. Optim.* 37(4) (2016), 480-491.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2015.1136326>
- [6] E. Dündar and B. Altay,  $I_2$ -Convergence of double sequences of functions, *El. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 3(1) (2015), 111-121.
- [7] E. Dündar and B. Altay,  $I_2$ -Convergence and  $I_2$ -cauchy double sequences, *Acta Math. Sci.* 34(2) (2014), 343-353.  
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(14\)60009-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(14)60009-6)
- [8] E. Dündar and B. Altay,  $I_2$ -Uniform convergence of double sequences of function, *Filomat* 30(5) (2016), 1273-1281.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1605273D>
- [9] E. Dündar and B. Altay, On some properties of  $I_2$ -convergence and  $I_2$ -cauchy of double sequences, *Gen. Math. Notes* 7(1) (2011), 1-12.
- [10] H. Fast, Sur la convergenc statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2(3-4) (1951), 241-244.
- [11] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5(4) (1985), 301-313.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301>

- [12] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific J. Math.* 160(1) (1993), 43-51.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1993.160.43>
- [13] A. Gökhan, M. Güngör and M. Et, Statistical convergence of double sequences of real-valued functions, *Int. Math. Forum* 2(8) (2007), 365-374.  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/imf.2007.07033>
- [14] R. F. Patterson and E. Savaş, Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences, *Math. Comm.* 10(1) (2005), 55-61.

